Applicant(s) Application No. SEIDEL ET AL. 10/674.527 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2663 Min Jung All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Masaomi Nishimaki. (1) Min Jung. (4) Takashi Ishihara. (2) Jonathan Bauser. Date of Interview: 14 December 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: newly proposed claims 26-37. Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was confirmed that the additional claims will be entered in the case. Possible restriction was discussed, however, it seems that the additional claims are directed to the same inventive subject matter, and therefore, a restriction may not be required.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. PRIMARY EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required